CERAB

CDOT's Risk Management Planning for ARRA



February 26, 2010



Summary

- Why Plan?
- Developing the Plan
- Continued Assessment



What Was at Stake?

- Reputation and Public Trust
- Funding
- Integrity



- Contributing Factors:
 - Increased national scrutiny
 - GAO focus state
 - Added provisions in ARRA that posed new risks
 - Reporting data
 - EDA
 - Timelines

Plan to Succeed

- Executive commitment
- Early action
- Dedicated team
- Stakeholder input
- Formalized plan

Stakeholder Input and Outreach

Contractors, consultants

Local Agencies

Planning partners

■ FHWA, FTA

Other federal agencies

CDOT



The Plan

- Existing processes, new procedures
- Evaluate the risks and potential impacts
- Identify risk mitigation
- Compare mitigation to existing business practices

COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

STEWARDSHIP AGREEMENT

Initial Plan - User 1994

Revised - 2007

Guereri Version - June 2009

Revised - 2007

CUERT VERSION - June 2009

DEVELOPED IN PARTMENDIFF WITH THE PECERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATIONS

COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

STEWARDSHIP AGREEMENT

Initial Plan - June 1994

Revised - 2007

CUERT VERSION - June 2009

DEVELOPED IN PARTMENDIFF WITH THE PECERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATIONS

COLORADO DIVISION AND THE COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

COLORA

Karia S. Petty, P.E.,

Continued Evaluation

- Re-assessment through communication
- Measurement and reporting
- Auditing and explanation
- Lessons learned





How we've done ...



Deadlines

- Highway June 30th obligation met May 11th
- Highway 1 year obligation met February 8th
- Transit pending with FTA since October

Provisions

- Jobs and economic growth
- Expeditious delivery
- EDA
- Reporting
- Relationships



